## Forecasting and advisory services through research and analysis

- Euro area GDP +1.7% in 2016, +1.8 in Q4 y-o-y
- Russian GDP -0.2 in 2016, Polish GDP +2.8 in 2016
- 21.8% of Latvia's population at risk of poverty in 2015

# 1 Key economic indicators

Key Economic indicators of Latvia										
Variable / Date	2014	2015	2016	2016			2017			
Variable / Date	2014	2015	2010	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1		
Real sector										
Real GDP, yoy, %, sca	2.1	2.7	-	1.2	0.8	0.3	1.6	-		
Nominal GDP per capita, EUR thou., nsa	11800	12300	-	2800	3200	3300	-	-		
Industrial production index, yoy, %, ca	-0.9	3.5	4.9	3.6	4.4	1.4	9.9	-		
Volume index of construction production, yoy, %, ca	7.9	-1.2	-	-19	-19	-22	-	-		
Retail treade, deflated turnover, yoy, %, ca	3.6	5	1.9	2.1	2.4	1.1	2.2	-		
Gross fixed capital formation, yoy, %, sca	0.1	2.8	-	-14.9	-25	-26.3	-	-		
Prices										
GDP deflator, SCA	1.3	0.4	-	0.7	0.5	-0.3	-	-		
HICP, eop, yoy,%	0.3	0.4	2.1	-0.6	-0.6	0.5	2.1	-		
PPI, eop, yoy, %	0.3	-1.5	-1.1	-3.2	-3.5	-1.7	-1.1	-		
House price index, yoy, %, eop	-4.5	6.6	-	7.1	9.5	10.8	-	-		
Labour market										
Mimimum wage, EUR, biannual, eop	320	360	370	37	370		80	380		
Unemployment rate, LFS, %, sa	10.8	9.9	9.7	9.9	9.5	9.8	9.6	-		
Real labour productivity per hour worked, yoy, %, nsa	2.9	3	-	2.7	0.9	2	-	-		
Labour market										
Exports of goods, yoy, %, sca	3.5	-0.4	-	4.1	2.9	3.7	-	-		
Imports of goods, yoy, %, sca	3.3	6.2	-	2.4	1.1	1.8	-	-		
Current account balance, % of GDP, sca		-0.7	-	1.8	0.1	1.6	-	-		
FDI inflow, % of GDP, nsa		2.1	-	-6.1	0.4	0.2	-	-		
Economic sentiments										
Economic sentiment indicator, SA, eop		103.0	104.0	104.0	105.0	105.0	104.0	104 (Jan)		
Industrial confidence indicator, SA, eop		-6.0	-1.0	-5.0	-3.0	-3.0	-1.0	-2 (Jan)		
Construction confidence indicator, SA, eop		-32.0	-24.0	-32.0	-37.0	-30.0	-24.0	-21 (Jan)		
Retail confidence indicator, SA, eop		4.0	5.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	5 (Jan)		
Services Confidence Indicator, SA, eop	3.0	1.0	7.0	5.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	5 (Jan)		
Consumer confidence indicator, SA, eop	-8.0	-8.0	-18.0	-10.0	-9.0	-8.0	-18.0	-17 (Jan)		

[Source: Eurostat, Bank of Lithuania, author's calculations]

2017-02-06 BRO - Latvia



# 2 Economic news of past week

Euro area GDP	Seasonally adjusted GDP rose by 0.5% in the euro area (EA19) and by
Q4 +0.5% Q-o-Q	0.6% in the EU28 during the fourth quarter of 2016, compared with the
•	previous quarter. In the third quarter of 2016, GDP had grown by $0.4\%$ in
	the euro area and by $0.5\%$ in the EU28. Compared with the same quarter
	of the previous year, seasonally adjusted GDP rose by 1.8% in the euro area
	and by 1.9% in the EU28 in the fourth quarter of 2016 after also $\pm 1.8\%$
	and $\pm 1.9\%$ in the previous quarter. Over the whole year 2016 GDP grew
	by $1.7\%$ in the euro area and by $1.9\%$ in the EU28
	(Eurostat)
2017 Jan euro	Euro area annual inflation is expected to be 1.8% in January 2017, up from
area annual infla-	1.1% in December 2016. Energy is expected to have the highest annual
tion up to 1.8%	rate in January $(8.1\%, \text{ compared with } 2.6\% \text{ in December})$ , followed by
	food, alcohol & tobacco (1.7%, compared with 1.2% in December), services
	(1.2%, compared with 1.3% in December) and non-energy industrial goods
	(0.5%,  compared with  0.3%  in December).
	(Eurostat)
Euro area unem-	The euro area seasonally-adjusted unemployment rate was 9.6% in Decem-
ployment at 9.6%	ber 2016 down from 9.7% in November 2016 and down from 10.5% in
piojinent at 0.070	December 2015 This is the lowest rate recorded in the euro area since May
	2009 The EU28 unemployment rate was 8.2% in December 2016 stable
	compared to November 2016 and down from 9.0% in December 2015. This
	remains the lowest rate recorded in the EU28 since February 2000
	(Furgetat)
Bussian CDP	Bussia's statistics sorvice surprised economists by finding gross domestic
0.9% in 2016	product (CDP) contracted by only 0.2% in 2016. That implies fourth
0.270 111 2010	quarter growth could have been around 1 percent year on year if there
	quarter growth could have been around 1 percent year-on-year in there were no revisions to data for the provides three quarters
	(Poutors com)
Poland's CDP	Polish CDP grow 3.0 percent in 2015 Analysts had expected a CDP growth
$\pm 2.8\%$ in 2016	figure of 2.7 percent for last year. Demostic demand grow 2.8 percent in
<b>72.070</b> III 2010	real terms last year, compared to 3.4 percent in 2015 according to the
	Control Statistical Office Poland's 2017 hudget is based on a forecast of
	3.6 percent CDP growth this year. Doputy Finance Minister Leszek Skiba
	5.0 percent GDT growth this year. Deputy Finance Minister Leszek Skiba
	but added the situation may improve in 2017. He pointed out that the
	but added the situation may improve in 2017. The pointed out that the
	(there are al)
01.007 of Tataia'-	(inchews.pr)
21.0/0 UI Latvia's	threshold rose as well to FUR 318 surge monthly (FUP 201 in 2014)
of poverty in 2015	Out of the total population 21.8% of the persons with monthly equivaliand.
or poverty in 2010	disposable (not) income below FUP 218 euros were at visit of persents in
	2015 Compared to 2014 the share of persons at risk of persons declined
	2010. Compared to 2014, the share of persons at risk of poverty declined
	by 0.7 ppt in 2015. (baltic-course.com)



## 3 Economic insights

The Phillips curve was introduced by A. W. Phillips in 1958, which observed a negative relationship between unemployment and the rate of change in nominal wage rates. This observation suggested that there was an exploitable trade-off between inflation and employment in an economy, and that monetary policy could permanently lower unemployment at the cost of higher inflation. However, subsequent contributions pointed out that inflation expectations play an important role and that monetary policy cannot permanently affect unemployment, which instead converges in the long run to its natural level, determined by the structural features of the economy. Nevertheless, on account of rigidities in consumer prices or wages, deviations in unemployment from its natural level or, more generally, economic slack could have an impact on inflation in the short term. (ECB 2011)

#### Data

Annual rate of change of the overall index excluding energy, food, alcohol and tobacco is taken from the Eurostat. Gap between actual GDP and potential GDP as percentage of potential GDP is taken from AMECO database.

			Table	e 1: Data				
TIME	EA19_INFL	$EA19_SL$	EE_INFL	$EE_SL$	LV_INFL	LV_SL	$LT_INFL$	$LT_SL$
1997	1.6			-1.5	12.3	0.2	11.2	3.5
1998	1.5	0.0		-1.5	8.0	1.3	7.6	4.8
1999	1.1	0.6	5.5	-6.2	4.1	-1.1	3.8	-2.6
2000	1.0	2.1	3.7	-0.8	2.5	-1.6	0.8	-4.4
2001	1.8	2.0	4.4	0.8	1.4	-1.8	0.2	-3.8
2002	2.4	1.0	3.4	1.6	1.4	-0.9	0.5	-3.3
2003	1.8	-0.2	2.3	2.9	3.0	1.0	-0.7	0.8
2004	1.9	0.2	1.4	3.3	4.8	1.8	-0.3	1.6
2005	1.4	0.1	2.0	6.4	4.8	4.4	1.2	3.6
2006	1.4	1.5	3.6	11.0	4.2	8.6	2.3	4.9
2007	1.9	2.7	6.1	14.5	8.1	10.8	3.0	8.9
2008	1.9	1.7	6.2	5.8	9.0	2.9	6.6	6.1
2009	1.4	-3.4	0.9	-9.0	2.4	-10.9	2.8	-10.5
2010	1.0	-2.1	0.4	-6.5	-4.0	-11.9	-2.2	-9.0
2011	1.4	-1.1	2.4	-0.7	-0.2	-5.3	0.3	-4.3
2012	1.5	-2.2	2.8	1.9	0.3	-1.8	2.0	-1.9
2013	1.1	-2.9	1.9	1.4	-0.1	0.3	1.4	-0.4
2014	0.8	-2.4	1.3	2.1	1.7	1.1	0.7	1.0
2015	0.8	-1.6	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.9	0.8
2016	0.9	-1.0	1.2	-0.1	1.2	1.4	1.7	0.9



#### Estimation results

Table 2. Estimation results of simple intear in model										
Euro area										
	β	Std. Error	$\mathbf{t}$	Sig.	F-statistic	df	Sig.	adj. $R^2$	Ν	
Intercept	1.45517	0.09120	15.956	< 0.001	6 000	17	0.02535	0.2177	19	
Slack	0.12296	0.05016	2.451	< 0.05	0.009					
Estonia										
	$\beta$	Std. Error	$\mathbf{t}$	Sig.	F-statistic	df	Sig.	adj. $R^2$	Ν	
Intercept	2.58961	0.40884	6.3334	< 0.001	2 789	16	6 0.0696	0.1406	18	
Slack	0.13743	0.07067	1.945	< 0.1	3.162					
Latvia										
	$\beta$	Std. Error	t	Sig.	F-statistic	df	Sig.	adj. $R^2$	Ν	
Intercept	3.3231	0.7170	4.635	< 0.001	8.225	18	0.01023	0.2755	20	
Slack	0.3999	0.1395	2.868	< 0.05						
Lithuania										
	$\beta$	Std. Error	t	Sig.	F-statistic	df	Sig.	adj. $R^2$	Ν	
Intercept	2.2876	0.6301	3.630	< 0.01	5.172	18	0.03543	0.18	20	
Slack	0.2989	0.1314	2.274	< 0.05						

#### Table 2: Estimation results of simple linear fit model

#### Scatterplot

Figure 1: HICP inflation excluding energy and food for the period 1997-2016 against the output gap



Negative gaps are associated with a high degree of unutilised capacity or economic slack. The coefficients of a linear fit and the associated  $R^2$  measure of the closeness of this fit are also displayed in table 2. The coefficients associated with the gaps, or the "slopes" of the Phillips curve, are significant

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Lithuanian-Economy.net}\\ {\rm justas.mundeikis@lithuanian-economy.net}\\ {\rm +370\ 619\ 68973}\end{array}$ 



2017-02-06 BRO - Latvia

and their signs are as expected - wider negative gaps are typically accompanied by lower inflation rates. According to the  $R^2$  coefficient, the output and unemployment gap can explain around 18-20% variation in inflation in this simple framework. The intercepts depict the expected average core inflation rate given a 0-gap from potential GDP. In this scenario, annual core inflation rate in Estonia is estimated at 2.6%, in Latvia 3.3% and in Lithuania 2.3%